

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/513,961		BOYD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ZACHARIAH LUCAS		1648	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ZACHARIAH LUCAS.

(2) John L. Gase.

**Date of Interview:** 3 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

55

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

/Zachariah Lucas/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that the arguments in the response of August 7, 2008 indicated that claim 55 was intended to be cancelled from the application, but that the claim had not been cancelled from the claim listing provided with the response. The Examiner suggested that the claim be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment to bring the application into condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to the amendment. .